

CONTENTS

Volume 1: Chapters

Volume 2, Comments and Responses, bound separately.

	Page
Tables.....	iv
Figures.....	vi
1 INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY.....	1-1
1.1 Basis for Circulating Bay Area to Central Valley High-Speed Train Revised Draft Program EIR.....	1-1
1.2 Summary of Revised Draft Program EIR.....	1-2
1.3 Public and Agency Involvement.....	1-4
1.3.1 Prior Draft Program EIR/EIS and Final Program EIR/EIS Notification and Circulation	1-4
1.3.2 Notification and Circulation of the Revised Draft Program EIR Material.....	1-4
1.4 California High-Speed Rail Authority's Preparation of and Use of Revised Final Program EIR	1-6
1.5 Relationship of Bay Area to Central Valley High-Speed Train Program EIR Process to Project-Level EIR Processes	1-7
2 REVISED PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND REVISED IMPACT ANALYSES: SAN JOSE TO GILROY	2-1
2.1 Revised Project Description: San Jose to Gilroy.....	2-1
2.2 Revised Land Use Analysis: San Jose to Gilroy.....	2-2
2.3 Revised Traffic Analysis: San Jose to Gilroy	2-10
2.4 Revised Aesthetics and Visual Resources Analysis: San Jose to Gilroy	2-13
2.5 Revised Cultural Resources and Paleontological Resources Analysis: San Jose to Gilroy	2-20
2.6 Revised Appendix 2-D Plan and Profiles: Pacheco Pass Alignment	2-22
2.7 Revised Appendix 2-E Cross Sections: San Jose to Central Valley	2-22
3 UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD'S STATEMENTS REFUSING TO ALLOW USE OF ITS RIGHTS-OF-WAY AND THE POTENTIAL FOR NEEDING ADDITIONAL PROPERTY FOR THE HST ALIGNMENT ALTERNATIVES	3-1
3.1 Union Pacific Railroad's Statements on Use of Its Right-of-Way for the HST	3-1
3.2 Effect of Union Pacific Railroad's Refusal to Allow Use of Its Rights-of-Way for the HST System and the Potential for Needing Additional Property for the HST Alignment Alternatives and on Land Use Compatibility	3-2
3.2.1 Clarification of How the 2008 Final Program EIR Identified the Location of HST Alignments as They Relate to Union Pacific Railroad Rights-of-Way	3-2
3.2.2 Effect of Having No Access to Union Pacific Railroad Rights-of-Way on Land Use and the Need for Additional Property for HST Alignment Alternatives.....	3-3
3.3 Summary of How Lack of Any Union Pacific Railroad Right-Of-Way for HST Alignment Alternatives Affects the Significance Conclusions in the 2008 Final Program EIR	3-9
4 IMPACTS TO UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD FREIGHT OPERATIONS	4-1
4.1 Impacts on Union Pacific Railroad Freight Operations.....	4-1
4.1.1 Method of Evaluation of Impacts	4-1
4.1.2 Affected Environment.....	4-2
4.1.3 Environmental Consequences.....	4-3

4.1.4 Role of Design Practices in Avoiding and Minimizing Effects	4-8
4.1.5 Mitigation Strategies and CEQA Significance Conclusions	4-8
4.1.6 Secondary Environmental Impacts From Avoiding/Mitigating Effects on UPRR Freight Operations	4-9
4.1.7 Subsequent Analysis.....	4-9
5 COSTS AND OPERATIONS	5-1
5.1 Introduction	5-1
5.2 Revised Capital Costs	5-1
5.3 Operations and Maintenance Costs (page 4-19).....	5-3
6 HIGH-SPEED TRAIN NETWORK AND ALIGNMENT ALTERNATIVES COMPARISON: SAN JOSE TO GILROY	6-1
7 REVISED FINAL PROGRAM EIR AND DESIGNATION OF A PREFERRED NETWORK ALTERNATIVE FOR CONNECTING THE BAY AREA TO THE CENTRAL VALLEY	7-1
7.1 Recommendation of Preferred Alternative in 2008 Final Program EIR	7-1
7.2 New and Clarified Information in the Revised Final Program EIR Does Not Alter the Recommendation of the Pacheco Pass Network Alternative Serving San Francisco Via San Jose as the Preferred Alternative.....	7-2
7.2.1 Revised Project Description and Analyses: San Jose to Gilroy	7-2
7.2.2 Effect of Union Pacific Railroad Denying Use of Its Rights-of-Way on Selection of Preferred Network Alternative	7-2
7.2.3 Pacheco Pass Network Alternative Serving San Francisco via San Jose	7-3
7.2.4 Effect of Avoiding Impacts to UPRR Freight Operations on Assessment of Alignment Alternatives.....	7-5
7.3 Rationale for the Recommendation of the Preferred Alternative.....	7-6
7.3.1 Introduction	7-6
7.3.2 Summary of Comments on the Identification of the Preferred Alternative.....	7-8
7.3.3 Network Alternatives Evaluation	7-12
7.3.4 MTC's "Regional Rail Plan for the San Francisco Bay Area"	7-20
7.3.5 Preferred HST Network Alternative	7-21
7.3.6 Preferred HST Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Options for the Preferred Pacheco Pass Network Alternative	7-25
7.3.7 Altamont Corridor Rail Project	7-31
8 UNAVOIDABLE ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS: SAN JOSE TO GILROY.....	8-1
9 LIST OF PREPARERS.....	9-1
9.1 California High-Speed Rail Authority	9-1
9.2 List of Consultants	9-1
10 SOURCES USED IN DOCUMENT PREPARATION	10-1
10.1 Chapter 1.....	10-1
10.1.1 Printed References.....	10-1
10.2 Chapter 2.....	10-1
10.2.1 Printed References.....	10-1
10.2.2 Personal Communication	10-1
10.3 Chapter 3	10-2
10.3.1 Printed References.....	10-2
10.3.2 Personal Communication	10-2
10.4 Chapter 4	10-3
10.4.1 Printed References.....	10-3
10.5 Chapter 5	10-3
10.6 Chapter 6	10-3
10.7 Chapter 7	10-3

10.7.1	Printed References.....	10-3
10.8	Chapter 8.....	10-3

APPENDICES

- A August 26, 2009 Court Ruling
- B City of San Jose Letter Supporting Reconstruction of Monterey Highway
- C Union Pacific Railroad Letters

TABLES

	Page
1-1 Revised Draft Program EIR Material Library Viewing Locations.....	1-5
1-2 Comment Submittals on the Revised Draft Program EIR	1-6
2-1 Unchanged 3.7-1—Compatibility of Land Use Types	2-3
2-2 Unchanged 3.7-2—Rankings of Potential Property Impacts	2-4
2-3 Revised 3.7.3—Land Use Summary Data for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons	2-8
2-4 Traffic Conditions on Monterey Highway With and Without the Project During Evening Peak Period (Year 2035)	2-11
2-5 Revised Table 3.9.1—Visual Impacts Summary Data Table for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons.....	2-16
2-6 Revised Table 3.12-1—Cultural Resources Summary Data Table for Alignment Alternatives and Station Location Option Comparisons	2-21
4-1 Existing Spurs and Junctions along the UPRR Lines.....	4-3
5-1 Revised 4.2-1—High-Speed Train Alignment Alternatives Capital Cost (in 2006 dollars), Including Contingencies and Program Implementation Cost	5-4
5-2 Revised 4.2-3—High-Speed Train Network Alternatives Cost Summary (in 2006 dollars).....	5-6
6-1 Revised 7.2-12: Pacheco Pass: San Francisco and San Jose Termini (Base Case for Pacheco)	6-2
6-2 Revised 7.2-13—Pacheco Pass: Oakland and San Jose Termini	6-4
6-3 Revised 7.2-14—Pacheco Pass: San Francisco, Oakland, and San Jose Termini.....	6-6
6-4 Revised 7.2-15—Pacheco Pass: San Jose Terminus.....	6-8
6-5 Revised 7.2-16—Pacheco Pass: San Jose, San Francisco, and Oakland—via Transbay Tube.....	6-10
6-6 Revised 7.2-17—Pacheco Pass: San Jose, Oakland, and San Francisco—via Transbay Tube.....	6-12
6-7 Revised 7.2-18—Pacheco Pass with Altamont Pass (Local Service): San Francisco and San Jose Termini.....	6-14
6-8 Revised 7.2-19—Pacheco Pass with Altamont (Local Service): Oakland and San Jose Termini	6-16
6-9 Revised 7.2-20—Pacheco Pass with Altamont Pass (Local Service): SF, Oak, and SJ Termini (without Dumbarton Bridge).....	6-18

6-10	Revised 7.2-21—Pacheco Pass with Altamont Pass (Local Service): San Jose Terminus.....	6-20
6-11	Revised 7.3-2—Caltrain: Dumbarton to San Jose.....	6-21
6-12	Revised 7.3-5—Pacheco Pass Alternatives: San Jose Diridon Station to San Luis Reservoir	6-21
7-1	Unchanged 8.1-1—High-Speed Rail Alignment and Station Evaluation Objectives and Criteria.....	7-7
8-1	Revised 9.3-1—Summary of Key Environmental Impact/Benefits of Alternatives	8-1

FIGURES

	Follows Page
2-1 Revised Figure 3.9-11—Caltrain/UPRR along Monterey Highway (May 2008).....	on page 2-15
2-2 Plans and Profiles: Pacheco Pass Alignment (Appendix 2-D in May 2008 Final Program EIR)	2-22
• Figure PP Index Pacheco Pass Plan & Profiles: Page 2-D-25	
• Figure PP1 of 8 Pacheco Pass Plan & Profiles: Page 2-D-26	
• Figure PP2 of 8 Pacheco Pass Plan & Profiles: Page 2-D-27	
• Figure PP3 of 8 Pacheco Pass Plan & Profiles: Page 2-D-28	
• Figure PP4 of 8 Pacheco Pass Plan & Profiles: Page 2-D-29	
2-3 Cross-Sections: San Jose to Las Banos, Pacheco Pass (Appendix 2-E in May 2008 Final Program EIR).....	2-22
• Figure PP-S1 Pacheco Pass: Diridon Station	
• Figure PP-S2 Pacheco Pass: Typical Intermediate Station on Aerial Structure	
• Figure PP-S3 Pacheco Pass: Typical Intermediate Station on Aerial Structure	
• Figure PP-6A Pacheco Pass: Typical At-Grade Section	
• Figure PP-6B Pacheco Pass: Typical At-Grade Section	
• Figure PP-6C Pacheco Pass: Typical At-Grade Section	
• Figure PP-7 Pacheco Pass: Aerial Station	
• Figure PP-8 Pacheco Pass: Aerial Structure	
• Figure PP-9A Pacheco Pass: Typical Retaining Fill	
• Figure PP-9B Pacheco Pass: Typical Retaining Fill	
• Figure PP-10 Pacheco Pass: Aerial Structure	
• Figure PP-11 Pacheco Pass: Aerial Structure	
• Figure PP-12 Pacheco Pass: Aerial Structure	
• Figure PP-13 Pacheco Pass: Typical At-Grade Section	
• Figure PP-14 Pacheco Pass: Typical At-Grade Mainline Section (Undeveloped Areas)	
3-1 Relation to Existing Transportation Corridors.....	3-9
3-2 UPRR Interface Locations	3-9
3-2a Hayward Amtrak Station	3-9
3-2b Union City	3-9
3-2c Monterey Highway in Coyote Valley	3-9
3-2d Downtown Gilroy	3-9
3-2e Pleasanton.....	3-9
3-2f Stanely Boulevard (between Pleasanton and Livermore)	3-9
3-2g Livermore	3-9
3-2h Tracy	3-9
3-2i Centerville Station	3-9

3-2j	Manteca	3-9
3-2k	Ripon	3-9
3-2l	Modesto	3-9
3-2m	Keyes	3-9
3-2n	Downtown Turlock	3-9
3-2o	Downtown Merced	3-9
4-1	Typical Spur Track or Siding.....	on page 4-2
4-2	Livermore Spur	on page 4-5
4-3	East Livermore Industrial Spur	on page 4-5
4-4	Westside Branch Junction in Tracy.....	on page 4-6
4-5	Tracy Rail Yard	on page 4-7
4-6	Spur Serving Large Silo along UPRR.....	on page 4-7
4-7	Typical Team Track Facility.....	on page 4-10
7-1	Bay Area to Central Valley HST Preferred Alternative.....	7-22